



PLACER COUNTY WATER AGENCY
SINCE 1957

BUSINESS CENTER

144 Ferguson Road

MAIL

P.O. Box 6570

Auburn, CA 95604

PHONE

530.823.4860

530.823.4960 - fax

WWW.PCWA.NET

NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

April 11, 2011

Contact: David A. Breninger, (530) 823-4860

or: Janet Goldsmith, (916) 321-4500, or Dave Carter, (530) 265-NEWS

Water Leaders “Gravely Concerned” About Draft Delta Plan

Auburn - The Placer County Water Agency, along with the Association of California Water Agencies and many other water leaders, expressed “grave concern” with the direction of the Delta Stewardship Council’s latest draft Delta Plan.

PCWA joined with nearly 60 water agencies in a strongly worded Coalition letter stating that the Council is far exceeding its authority and is steering the planning process away from success. The letter states that the draft plan is not supportable and urges the Council to revise it to adhere to the 2009 Delta Reform Act law that created the Delta Plan process in the first place.

Signatories to the April 8, 2011, jointly issued Coalition letter included water associations and water agencies from across California, as well as statewide agricultural and business organizations.

The letter states that the latest draft attempts to regulate water resources well beyond the Delta region, usurps the authority of other state agencies, and includes unwieldy and untenable regulatory policies.

Noting that the Council represents the most important opportunity in generations to resolve the crisis in the Delta, water leaders said they remain committed to the success of a process but that it must be focused and limited to the Delta.

“The Council’s latest effort,” points out PCWA General Manager David Breninger, “is on the wrong track and is seriously headed for failure.”

-more-

Agency Water Attorney Janet Goldsmith added, "The Council's attempt to regulate actions outside the legal Delta exceeds its statutory authority which specifically limits it to regulation of actions occurring at least in part within the Delta." She adds, "The Act does not authorize the Council to regulate water management plans, regional plans, conservation and recycle programs, future water-supply options, water use reporting, groundwater use or rate structures outside the Delta, but this is what the current draft Plan proposes."

Breninger said that "PCWA wants the Council's next draft Plan to comply with the Law, be focused on the Delta, and to cease striving to dictate to regions such as Placer County the use of the county's water resources and the Agency's rate structure."

The firmly worded Coalition letter was submitted to assure that the comments and will of California's water leaders is seriously taken into account as the Council prepares to release an update, the next in the edition of the Delta Plan, this month.

April 08, 2011

Mr. Phil Isenberg, Chair
Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, Suite 1500
Sacramento, CA. 95814

RE: Delta Plan – 2nd Draft

Dear Chairman Isenberg and Council Members:

We have grave concern regarding the direction of the Delta Stewardship Council's second draft (March 18) Delta Plan. We cannot support the second draft as it envisions unnecessary and untenable regulatory underpinnings to a Delta Plan that will only exacerbate present challenges in the Delta rather than contribute to their resolution consistent with the coequal goals. Furthermore, the second draft far exceeds the authority provided to the Council by the Delta Reform Act. The Council must revise the Delta Plan to adhere to the direction so carefully crafted in the Delta Reform Act.

In the second draft the Council seeks to establish a basis to regulate statewide water management activities through the exercise of its limited and narrowly proscribed authority to review the consistency of "covered actions." The Delta Reform Act does not give the Council broad regulatory power over use of the State's water resources. The Council's mandate to draft a Delta Plan explicitly does not shift legislatively mandated authorities of other State or local agencies to the Council, and its authority to review the consistency of "covered actions" cannot be expanded to somehow become applicable to the entirety of the Delta Plan. The second draft includes unwieldy regulatory policies that expose the Council's efforts to transform its non-regulatory mandate to draft a Delta Plan that was intended to ultimately coordinate efforts across state agencies, into a usurpation of those agencies' authorities through an overly expansive view of "covered actions." The Council's attempt at such regulation is not supported by the Delta Reform Act. We urge that the next draft Delta Plan be consistent with the direction and limited authority provided by under the Act.

Further, the Council's attempt to regulate actions outside the legal Delta exceeds its statutory authority. The geographic scope of "covered actions" pursuant to the Delta Plan is specifically limited to actions occurring at least in part within the Delta. (Water Code, 85057.5(a)(1).) Simply put, the Act does not authorize the Council to regulate – as proposed in the second draft – water management plans, integrated regional water management plans, water conservation and recycling programs, future water-supply options, water-use reporting, stormwater programs, groundwater use, or rate structures of water agencies outside the Delta and Suisun Marsh. While such actions outside the Delta may need to be undertaken at the local level as part of a comprehensive water strategy to reconcile economic and environmental goals, that does not justify the Council's efforts to assert regulatory control at the state level. The approach set forth in the current draft plan places an unrealistic burden upon covered actions within the Delta to evaluate actions outside the Delta as part of the covered action's consistency determination – in exceedance of the statutory intent of the Delta Reform Act.

The problem of the overreaching regulatory approach displayed in the second draft goes beyond the exaggeration of legal authority – it will rapidly move the Council away from success, driving away from the table the very parties, across the stakeholder community, that are critical to the successful implementation of an effective and viable Delta Plan over the next several decades. Innovative and comprehensive solutions will be lost for fear of being defined as a “covered action” or “connected” to a covered action. The current draft Plan is duplicative of numerous efforts already performed by other state and local agencies related to furthering the achievement of the coequal goals in the Delta and as integral to California water management generally. The Council should heed the lessons from Calfed: it is neither heroic nor effective to develop a plan that is unmanageable and indefensible – and ultimately fails to move California forward.

The need for a plan that effectively furthers the achievement of the coequal goals is urgent. We cannot support the second draft Delta Plan, because, despite this urgency, it lacks the authority, focus, and structure necessary to do so. We encourage the Council to take stock of its current efforts, and re-assess the direction it is heading. In order to restore stakeholder confidence and maintain a collaborative process, the next draft plan must be more properly focused on the Delta and Suisun Marsh, and provide a suite of recommendations that will facilitate the achievement of the coequal goals while adhering to the direction and authorities provided to the Council by the Delta Reform Act.

Sincerely,




Timothy Quinn
Executive Director
Association of California Water Agencies



David Guy
President
Northern California Water Association



Byron Buck
Executive Director
State and Federal Contractors Water Agency



Allen Short
Coordinator
San Joaquin River Group Authority



Richard Lyon
Senior Vice President
CA Building Industry Association



Rex Hime
President and CEO
CA Business Properties
Association




Valerie Nera
Policy Advocate
California Chamber of
Commerce



Paul Wenger
President
CA Farm Bureau Federation



Kara Bush
Manager, Gov. Relations
CA Grocers Association



John Kingsbury
Executive Director
Mountain Counties Water
Resources Association



Richard Atwater
Executive Director
Southern California
Water Committee




Kathy Mannion
Legislative Advocate
Regional Council of Rural Counties




Tom Nassif
President and CEO
Western Growers



Stuart Waldman
President
Valley Industry and Commerce
Association



Walter Wadlow
General Manager
Alameda County Water District




David Weisenberger
General Manager
Banta-Carbona Irrigation
District




Walter Cotter
General Manager
Browns Valley Irrigation District



Joone Lopez
General Manager
Calaveras County Water District




Steven M. Nugent
General Manager
Carmichael Water District



Chris White
General Manager
Central California Irrigation District




Robert Churchill
General Manager
Citrus Heights Water District



Kenneth V. Payne, P.E., Chief
Enviro. & Water
Resources Development
City of Folsom



Derrick Whitehead
Director of Environmental Utilities
City of Roseville



Marty Hanneman
Director of Department of Utilities
City of Sacramento



Steve Robbins
General Manager
Coachella Valley Water District



Debra Sedwick
General Manager
Del Paso Manor Water District



Dave Eggerton
General Manager
El Dorado County Water Agency



Jim Abercrombie
General Manager
El Dorado Irrigation District



Tom Gray
General Manager
Fair Oaks Water District




Jeff Bryant
General Manager
Firebaugh Canal Water District




Elizabeth Reeves
General Manager
Fresno Slough Water District




Ronald D. Jacobsma
General Manager
Friant Water Authority



Thaddeus Bettner
General Manager
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District



John Mallyon
General Manager
James Irrigation District




James M. Beck
General Manager
Kern County Water Agency



Jeff Kightlinger
General Manager
Metropolitan Water District
of Southern California



Ron Nelson
General Manager
Nevada Irrigation District



David Breninger
General Manager
Placer County Water Agency



Steve Knell
General Manager
Oakdale Irrigation District



Sharon Wilcox
General Manager
Orange Vale Water Company



Edward R. Crouse
General Manager
Rancho Murieta CSD



Mike Hardesty
General Manager
Reclamation District #2068



Jeffrey D. Conway
District Manager
Reclamation District #800




John Woodling
Executive Director
Regional Water Authority



Rob Roscoe
General Manager
Sacramento Suburban
Water District




Stan Dean
District Engineer
Sacramento Regional
County Sanitation District



Shauna Lorance
General Manager
San Juan Water District



Dan Nelson
Executive Director
San Luis & Delta-Mendota
Water Authority




Martin R. McIntyre
General Manager
San Luis Water District



Beau Goldie
Chief Executive Officer
Santa Clara Valley Water District




Jeffrey P. Sutton
General Manager
Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority



Danny Wade
General Manager
Tranquillity Irrigation District




Pete Kampa
General Manager
Tuolumne Utilities District




Robert M. Nees
Assistant General Manager
Turlock Irrigation District



Thomas Birmingham
General Manager
Westlands Water District



Robert Pierce
General Manager
West Stanislaus Irrigation District



Tim O'Halloran
General Manager
Yolo County Flood Control
& Water Conservation District



Scott Matyac
Water Resources Manager
Yuba County Water Agency